UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
JORGE ALULEMA	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints fo	estein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, or all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, but the set of the se	d with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s)
Plaintiffs, JORGE ALULEMA, by his/her/the NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s),	eir attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & respectfully allege:
I. PAI	RTIES
A. PLAI	
a citizen of New York residing at 94-40 42nd Avenue (O	R)
2. Alternatively, □ is is and brings this claim in his (her) of is	the of Decedent

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-04241-AKH Documen	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 01 11
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint		, and has the following relationship to the
J	☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
	•	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Construction	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 11/20 Company as a Welder at:	/2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Tulley's
,	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations
	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximate	bout _9/14/2001_ until _11/14/2001_; ly _10_ hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
========	ly <u>5</u> days total.	✓ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or al Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about 9/11/2001 until _11/20/2002_; Approximately _8_ hours per day; for
Approximate	bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately _8_ nours per day, for Approximately _12_ days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	\square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION CORP
determination	
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	P.C.
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on 8/19/05	NEW YORK, INC.
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
□ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

- ✓ PRO SAFETY SERVICES, LLC
- ☑ PT & L CONTRACTING CORP
- ☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO, INC.
- ☑ ROBER SILMAN ASSOCIATES
- ☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC
- ✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.
- ☑ ROYAL GM INC.
- ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.
- ✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP
- ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING
- ☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP
- ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC
- ✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ WORLD TRADE CENTER PROPERTIES.

- ☑ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC
- **▼** ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING INC

	CDD	10, 1110.
OTHER:		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04241-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	urisd ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial	bility, and asserts each element necessary to es	tablish	n such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
✓	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other: _____

Case 1:07-cv-04241-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Small Airway Disease Date of onset: 11/21/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 11/21/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	▼	Other Injury: Joints aching/pains, numbness Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

aum	damages.		
==== ☑	Pain and suffering	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
√	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 2, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Jorge Alulema

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 2, 2007

Oocket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
========	Jorge Alulema,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=========	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
□ <u>NOTI</u> th du	TAKE NOTICE: CE OF ENTRY at the within is a (certified) true copy of an ally entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 CE OF SETTLEMENT
th wi ju wi or	at an order